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The past trends and driving factors of the emissions
GHG emissions growth has accelerated despite reduction efforts.
GHG emissions growth between 2000 and 2010 has been larger than in the previous three decades.

Based on Figure SPM.1

- 49 Gt (Uncertainty: ±4.5 Gt)
- +2.2%/yr
- +1.3%/yr
About half of the cumulative anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions between 1750 and 2010 have occurred in the last 40 years.

Based on Figure 5.3
Regional patterns of GHG emissions are shifting along with changes in the world economy.

Based on Figure 1.6
GHG emissions rise with growth in GDP and population.

Based on Figure SPM.3
The long-standing trend of decarbonization has reversed.

Based on Figure SPM.3
Limiting the global warming
Limiting warming involves substantial technological, economic and institutional challenges.
Without additional mitigation, global mean surface temperature is projected to increase by 3.7 to 4.8°C over the 21st century.
Stabilization of atmospheric GHG concentrations requires moving away from business as usual.

Based on Figure SPM.4
Lower ambition mitigation goals require similar reductions of GHG emissions.

Based on Figure SPM.4
Mitigation involves substantial upscaling of low-carbon energy.
Mitigation involves substantial upscaling of low-carbon energy.

Based on Figure SPM.4
Many scenarios make it at least *about as likely as not* that warming will remain below 2°C relative to pre-industrial levels.
Still, between 2030 and 2050, emissions would have to be reduced at an unprecedented rate...

Based on Figure SPM.5
...implying a rapid scale-up of low-carbon energy.
Delaying emissions reductions increases the difficulty and narrows the options for mitigation.

Based on Figure SPM.5
Delaying emissions reductions increases the difficulty and narrows the options for mitigation.

Based on Figure SPM.5
Delaying emissions reductions increases the difficulty and narrows the options for mitigation.

Based on Figure SPM.5

Current Cancún Pledges imply increased mitigation challenges for limiting warming to 2°C relative to pre-industrial levels.
Mitigation measures and policies
Low stabilization scenarios depend on a full decarbonization of energy supply.
Baseline scenarios suggest rising GHG emissions in all sectors, except for CO$_2$ emissions from the land-use sector.
Mitigation requires changes throughout the economy. Systemic approaches are expected to be most effective.

Based on Figure TS.17
Mitigation efforts in one sector determine efforts in others.

Based on Figure TS.17
Decarbonization of energy supply is a key requirement for limiting warming to 2°C.
Energy demand reductions can provide flexibility, hedge against risks, avoid lock-in and provide co-benefits.

Based on Figure 7.11
Reduction energy demand through efficiency enhancements and behavioural changes is a key mitigation strategy.

Based on Figure SPM.8
Reducing energy demand through efficiency enhancements and behavioural changes are a key mitigation strategy.

Based on Figure SPM.8
The wide-scale application of best-practice low-GHG technologies could lead to substantial emission reductions.
Examples from electricity generation: Low emission technologies exist, but emissions are reduced to different degrees.

Based on Figure 7.7

![Diagram showing emission intensity for various sources over time (2010, 2030, 2050)]

* Median Value in Mitigation Scenarios (430-530 ppm CO₂ eq by 2100)
Due to cost decline, renewable energy technologies are becoming economical solutions in an increasing number of countries.

Based on Figure 7.7
Exhibit 2
Welcome to the Terrordome... $/MMBTU by Energy Type

Source: EIA, CIA, World Bank, Bernstein analysis
PV Revolution

Growth of Wind and Photovoltaics

Gigawatts

- Wind
- Photovoltaics

Years:

Grid parity in 102 countries
Mitigation cost estimates vary, but global GDP growth may not be strongly affected.
Global costs rise with the ambition of the mitigation goal.

Based on Table SPM.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration [ppm CO₂eq]</th>
<th>Percentage Point Reduction in Annualized Consumption Growth Rate over 21st Century</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.06 (0.04-0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.06 (0.03-0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01-0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030−650</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01-0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Technological limitations can increase mitigation costs.

Based on Figure 6.24
Mitigation can result in co-benefits for human health and other societal goals.
Climate change mitigation can result in co-benefits for human health and other societal goals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Manufacturing, construction, installation</th>
<th>Operating &amp; maintenance/fuel processing</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar PV</td>
<td>5.76-6.21</td>
<td>1.20-4.80</td>
<td>6.96-11.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind power</td>
<td>0.43-2.51</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.70-2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.38-2.44</td>
<td>0.78-2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal-fired</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas-fired</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Based on findings from a range of studies published in 2001-04. Assumed capacity factor is 21% for solar PV, 35% for wind, 80% for coal, and 85% for biomass and natural gas.

Table 8: Average employment over life of facility (Jobs per megawatt of average capacity)

Source: UNEP, ILO, IOE and ITUC (2008)
Prerequisites for effective mitigation
Changes in investment patterns and appropriate policies
Substantial reductions in emissions require significant changes in investment patterns.

Based on Figure SPM.9
There has been a considerable increase in national and sub-national mitigation policies since AR4.
Sector-specific policies have been more widely used than economy-wide policies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option Specific</th>
<th>Whole Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government Provision of Public Goods or Services</strong></td>
<td>Training, Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Install Efficient Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regulatory Approaches</strong></td>
<td>Performance Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benchmark Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control Retrofit/Replace, Mandated Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic Instruments</strong></td>
<td>Specific Tax Credit, Exemption, Deduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preferential Loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsidies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information Programmes</strong></td>
<td>Data Collection, Auditing, Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benchmarking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Figure 10.15
Science for technology advancement and informed policy design

GREAT TIME FOR SCIENTISTS !!!
Hot topics

- Better integration of wind and solar
- Integration of power, heating, cooling, water and transport systems
- Market arbitrage (time delay, power-heat, power-water, demand management, power-fuels)
- Solution for road freight, shipping, aviation and high temperature processes - biomass + synthetic fuels?
- Quantification of socioeconomic impacts of mitigation measures and policies
International cooperation across scales

Based on Figure 13.1
Latest developments
(UNFCC - INDC portal, 1 Oct 2015)

- Submitted INDCs: 120
- Parties represented: 148
- Global GHG emissions % covered by Parties which already submitted: over 85%
- Expected GHG variation compared to 1990 with the submitted INDCs: +19% (source: Factor CO2, No.4, Sept 2015)
Latest developments

- European Union: At least -40% (vs 1990)
- Mexico: -22% (vs BAU)
- USA: -26%/-28% (vs 2005) in 2025
- Russia: -25%/-30% (vs 1990)
- Canada: -30% (vs 2005)
- Morocco: -13% (vs BAU)
- China: -60%/-65% per unit of GDP (vs 2005)
- Republic of Korea -37% (vs BAU)
- Macedonia: -36% (vs BAU)
- Australia: -26%/-28% (vs 2005)
Latest developments

- The commitments made, and likely to be made by December, will not by themselves be enough to achieve the 2 degrees goal.

- Approaches for bolstering these targets:
  - Engaging “non-state actors” (cities, local governments and businesses);
  - INDCs should be subject to regular review and ratcheting up in the years after the Paris meeting.

- Sorting out the finance part of the deal remains a burning issue.

- There is dispute over a number of issues such as “loss and damage”.

- Questions still hovered over what would appear in the core agreement.
Will we make it?

The idea of success might seem as a long shot, but the present actions bring hope that all the individual agents will advance their interests coherently towards the common goal of saving the planet.
Will we make it?

The role of science:

- To assess the risks and options;
- To innovate technologies and devise new solutions;
- In a rigorous and policy-neutral way, to inform the processes for reaching decisions.